## An FFC-MRI system for *in vivo* relaxometric studies in mice

L. de Rochefort, N. Chanet, G. Willoquet, R.M. Dubuisson, M. Poirier-Quinot, G. Guillot

Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS, UMR8081, IR4M, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France;

The transfer of fast field-cycling relaxometric techniques to MRI (1) provides a valuable experimental tool for the characterization of relaxometric properties of biological tissues, potentially enabling finer in vivo validation of relaxometric models in complex tissue structures (2). The additional degree of freedom enabled by the rapid modification of the magnetic field also leads to innovative contrasts making use of the dispersive properties of tissues (3,4) or contrast agents (5,6). The combination of dispersive property characterization with the broad capability of MRI may offers a unique opportunity to improve specificity and evaluate new potential biomarkers in various diseases such as cancer or neurodegeneration.

We report here the current developments associated with an FFC-MRI system (7) designed for mice composed of an inserted solenoidal coil (Stelar s.r.l, Mede, Italy) inserted into and interfaced to a standard 1.5 T MRI system (Philips Achieva, located at CEA/SHFJ in Orsay). This unique FFC-MRI system that enables fast switching (few ms) of the magnetic field in the range 1 to 2T required the development of calibration and eddy-current compensation techniques (8,9), and motivated the implementation of various pulse sequences, to measure R<sub>1</sub>-dispersion profiles or generate delta-relaxation MR (dreMR) (6,10,11) dispersive contrast, such as inversion-recovery or steady-state approaches (12) (Fig.1 and 2).



Figure 2: Spin-echo images acquired at 1.5T on solutions of MS-325 with and without albumin after a saturation-recovery sequence with relaxation during Figure 2: NMRD profiles of Gd-DOTA and Molday-100 ms at 1.9 T (left) and 1.1 T (center) displaying ION solutions with linear fitting of the dispersion in the different T1-recovery. The combined image (right) range 1 to 2T measured by inversion recovery displays only dispersive contrast.



displaying a strong dispersion for USPIO as expected.

- Pine KJ, et al. In vivo field-cycling relaxometry using an insert coil for magnetic field offset.MRM 2014;72. 2.
- 3. Diakova G, et al.. The magnetic field dependence of water T1 in tissues. MRM 2012;68.
- 4. Broche LM, et al. Detection of osteoarthritis in knee and hip joints by fast field-cycling NMR. MRM 2012;68.
- 5. Hogain DO, et al. The use of contrast agents with fast field-cycling magnetic resonance imaging. PMB 2011;56.
- 6. Alford JK, et al. dreMR: improving activation-specificity of molecular probes through R1 dispersion imaging. MRM 2009;61.
- de Rochefort L, et al. Initial Characterization of a ±0.5T Insertable dreMR Magnet on a 1.5T Clinical System. FFC-relax 2013. 7.
- de Rochefort L, et al. Characterization and Compensation of Eddy Current Induced by Insertable dreMR Magnet. ISMRM, 2012. 8. 9. Hoelscher UC, et al. Eddy current compensation for dreMR by dynamic reference phase modulation. Magma 2013;26:.
- 10. Harris CT, et al. Development and optimization of hardware for delta relaxation enhanced MRI. MRM 2014;72.
- Hoelscher UC, et al. Quantification and localization of contrast agents using dreMR at 1.5 T. Magma 2012;25:223-231. 11.
- 12. Chanet N, et al. Contrast Optimization Using Fast Field Cycling Techniques and Fast Low Angle Sequences. ISMRM 2016, 2825

Lurie DJ, et al. Fast field-cycling magnetic resonance imaging. Comptes Rendus Physique 2010;11. 1.